Discussion: "Risk Management in Financial Institutions"

by A. Rampini, S. Viswanathan, G. Vuillemey

Juliane Begenau (HBS & NBER)

Liquidity & Financial Crises Wharton, October 14, 2016

Summary

- Important Question:
 - What are the determinants of bank risk-management?
- Risk Management in Financial Institutions Theory
 - Rampini and Viswanathan theory
 - hedging is costly
 - low net-worth entities hedge less because they are financially constrained
 - trade-off between hedging & investment
- This Paper: Evidence in U.S. bank sample
 - high net-worth banks hedge more in the cross-sections and over time
 - · house price shock to instrument exogenous drop in net worth

- 1. Interest Rate Derivative Positions & Exposures
- 2. What is the key state variable
- 3. Open Questions/Suggestions

(1) Interest Rate Derivative Positions and Exposures

BHC: Interest Rate Derivatives



Measurement of Interest Rate Exposure

- Here: underlying interest rate exposure measured as maturity or duration gap
- Value of entire fixed income portfolio sensitive to rates
- Begenau, Piazzesi, and Schneider (2015)
 - construct replicating portfolio of banks' balance sheet using call report data
 - credit and interest rate factor
 - interest exposure measured using all interest rate sensitive positions on balance sheet

Interest rate exposures of traditional banks (all others; left panel) and market makers (share of trading assets > 10%; right panel)



Factor Exposures and Interest Rate Risk Exposure in the cross-section



(2) What is the key state variable?

Paper: high net-worth banks hedge more

- Net worth is key state variable in model
- Measured as
 - size \sim log(book assets)
 - Market cap
 - Market cap/ asset
 - net income/ asset
 - credit rating
 - net worth index = i.e. first principle component of size, market cap/asset, dividend/asset, net-income/asset
- Economically, which maps back best to the model?
 - Surprising that market cap/asset (i.e. mkt leverage) seem not to work
 - Size is important but perhaps less reflective of financial constraints, (e.g. business model)
 - What is the state variable constraining banks beyond size?

Net income, gross hedging, maturity gap by size buckets (11 = top 30 banks)



11

	Pooled cross-section		OLS panel	
Net Income	1.21***	0.02	10.31	-5.29
	(3.28)	(0.07)	(0.3)	(-0.22)
Log Assets		0.02***		2.13***
		(15.65)		(5.49)
Bank & Time FE			Yes	
Observations	73	73	4329	
Clustered Bank & Time			Yes	

(3) Open Questions/Suggestions

Suggestions / Open Questions

- Implication from theory?
 - Choice between hedging and profitable investments
 - Suggestion:
 - Proxy banks investment opportunities by Tobin's Q
 - If banks are truly financially constrained, may choose to cut hedging in favor of investment
 - Test whether constrained firms with high Tobins's Q cut hedging more to avoid cutting investment
- Do non-hedging banks tend to be more risk-loving?
 - Study characterstics of non-hedging banks
 - High maturity gap banks (high interest rate exposure) have
 - higher RWA/A
 - higher leverage
 - higher loan growth pre-crisis
 - hedge less

Change over time? (group banks into high and low net-worth bins)



Conclusion

- Very interesting paper!
- Question clearly important
- Evidence for Rampini and Viswanathan risk management theory
- A few suggestions
 - exploit cross-sectional more (already do a lot) to delve deeper into testing the theory
 - what is the state variable that matters for risk-management?
 - study subsample periods

- Distress measure includes exits due to merger and acquisition that occured extensively over the sample period
- Sample of banks changes
- Understanding the nature of the constraint
 - What is the appropriate state variable
 - Suggestion:
 - Compare banks that IPOed to banks that stay private see Scharfstein & Falato (2016)
 - Access to public markets potentially loosens constraints Financial constraints