

# Discussion of “Taxes and Bank Capital Structure” by Glenn Schepens

Juliane Begenau

Harvard Business School

AFA Boston 2015

# This paper

- ▶ Impact of relative tax-advantage of debt on banks' capital structure?
- ▶ Study of Belgium's 2006 change in tax legislation:
  - ▶ Notional interest deductibility on equity
  - ▶ Reduction in taxable income = equity x average rate on 10-year government bonds
- ▶ Analysis:
  - ▶ DID with "treated" Belgian banks and "non-treated" EU banks
  - ▶ Matching of treated to non-treated banks based on similar trend in ETA
- ▶ Results:
  - ▶ ETA ratios increase by 1.03 percentage points
  - ▶ Increase in ETA not coming from reduction in assets or loans (controlling for demand)
  - ▶ Ex-ante less capitalized banks reduce risky corporate loans

# Comments

1. Contribution
2. Taxes and competition
3. Economic significance
4. Open questions

# Contribution

- ▶ Hard to establish the effect of taxes (Panier et al, 2013) on financing decisions because of
  - ▶ omitted vars in cross-sectional or cross-country studies
  - ▶ few tax reforms with significant changes in tax incentives
  - ▶ difficulty to control for time trends
- ▶ Quasi-natural experiment of the Belgium reform overcomes these issues
- ▶ Sample relatively small - but similar effects reported for larger sample of non-financial firms

# Taxes and Competition

- ▶ Kashyap, Hanson, and Stein (2010):
  - ▶ competition in the banking sector
  - ▶ even a relatively small tax advantage of debt incentivizes banks to operate under high leverage
- ▶ Pennacchi (2014):
  - ▶ taxes and the competitive environment in loan and deposit markets determine bank capital structure
- ▶ Evidence for the KHS 2010 competition effect in Belgium?

# Open Questions

- ▶ Matched banks mostly Italian and Spanish
  - ▶ Panier et al (2013) mostly used German, Dutch, and French banks?
  - ▶ Are Italian and Spanish banks similar enough?
- ▶ Risk-taking measured in terms of loan portfolio
  - ▶ Modern banks engage in many risk bearing activities, i.e. mortgages, securitization, risky government debt, interbank loans, trading activities, SIV
  - ▶ Effect of tax reform on these other activities?

## Open Questions ctd

- ▶ What happens to the treated banks' ETA and total equity levels after 2007?
  - ▶ Effect lasting or perhaps even increasing?
  - ▶ Loans to less risky firms could result in less profitable loans  
Was that the case and how did banks adjust?
- ▶ How do “treated” and “non-treated” banks fared during the crisis?
  - ▶ Did Belgian banks outperform other banks because of higher capital cushions?

# Economic Significance

- ▶ How relevant are tax incentives for bank capital structure decisions relative to other determinants?
- ▶ Why financial institutions operate at high leverage ratios is not explained by tax-advantage alone (e.g., compare to non-financials)
- ▶ Would the reform implied increase in capital ratios be enough to make banks safer?
  - ▶ What went wrong for Fortis and Dexia?



# Conclusion

- ▶ Very interesting and relevant question carefully studied in a unique setting
- ▶ Quantifies relevant determinant of bank capital structure
- ▶ Raises important questions for bank regulation